og for Record. V. Spork. 8/17/80

## In The United States Patent and Trademark Office

Application Number:

09/829,459

Applicants:

Sam W. Shih

Filing Date:

04/09/2001

Title: Multi-Picture Frame

Examiner: Cassandra Hope Davis

Group Art Unit:

3611

Date: August 01, 2002

## RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed 04/01/2002, the applicant respectfully submits as follows.

## IN THE DRAWINGS:

New reference characters, "41", "61", "30A", "60A", "61A", "62A", "62A", "63A", 1. and "631A", for elements previously shown in the drawings and described in the original specification, have been amended to Figs. 2, 3 and 4 of the drawings in red ink along with the new element. A drawing amendment approval request form is enclosed herewith, as pursuant to MPEP 608.02(v).

## Response to Rejection of Claims 1 to 20 under 35USC103

2. The Examiner rejected claims 1-11 and 16-20 over McKenna et al (U.S. 2,102,474) in view of Glogau (U.S. 2,092,247) based on the reason that since Glogau teaches display device comprising vertically rotating display webs (26) which can be driven to rotate by rollers (22-25), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the roller taught by McKenna mounted such that they rotate vertically as taught by Glogau to provide means to create the illusion of vertical movement instead of horizontal movement. However, the claims 1-11 and 16-20 of the instant invention are not only claiming how to create the illusion of vertical movement instead of horizontal movement. The independent claim